REMARKS

Reexamination and reconsideration of the rejections are hereby requested.

The examiner indicated that the specification needed to be corrected. A review of the application indicates that drawing sheet 6/44 was in error. A replacement drawing sheet is enclosed herewith. In FIGS. 7a and 7a the correct induction member is R_7_200, not I_7_200. It is submitted that with the replacement sheet, the specification does not need to be amended.

The examiner indicates that claims 29-32, 44 and 47 would be allowable if rewritten into independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 29 has been rewritten into independent form including the limitations of claim 1. Claims 30-32 ultimately depend from now independent claim 29 and are unchanged. Claims 44 and 47 have been rewritten into independent form including all of the limitations of claim 1. Claims 1-28, 33-43, 45, 46 and 48-50 are cancelled without prejudice in order that the allowable claims may issue.

The only claims remaining in this case are directed to allowable subject matter and it is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Early favorable action is requested.

> Respectfully submitted, CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP

> > Attorney Docket No.: 2003710-0003

Dated: June 19, 2007 /SamPasternack/

Sam Pasternack Reg. No. 29,576

PATENT DEPARTMENT
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP
Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110 Tel.: (617) 248-5000 Fax.: (617) 248-4000